



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,066	02/22/2002	Kalliope Christophides-Lordi	05725.1030-00	8578	
7:	7590 07/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas L. Irving FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,			WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I Street, N.W.			1617		
Washington, DC 20005-3315			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



FILING DATE

## 10/010066



FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

EXAMINER

ATTY, DOCKET NO.

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

6/23/04

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY**

Responsive to communication(s) filed on
accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims
Claim(s) 1-36
Claim(s)is/are objected to.  Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed onis approved disapproved.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES PTOL-326 (Rev. 9/96)
★ U.S. GPO: 1996-421-632/-

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a method of modifying the appearance of a substrate, a method for reducing the appearance of dark circles around the eyes, a method for reducing coetaneous signs of aging, a method of treating lily or combination skin, a method for reducing the appearance of wrinkles, a method for increasing the matte effect of a composition on a substrate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, methods of treatment generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1617

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicants elect a method of modifying the appearance of a substrate, the following election of species is required:

Claims 17-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising compounds applicants must elect one ultimate compound. For example, if applicants elect venotonic plants in claim 17, one such plant must be elected from claim 18. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claim 22 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising active agents. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1617

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 27-29 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising compositions. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One of the three must be elected.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A phone election of species requirement was not attempted because it is deemed complex.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

Art Unit: 1617

Webman/tgd

June 28, 2004

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500